

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

**BEVERLY K. JESSIE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**Civil Action No. 2:04 CV 28  
(Maxwell)**

**JO ANNE B. BARNHART,  
COMMISSIONER OF  
SOCIAL SECURITY,**

**Defendant.**

**ORDER**

By Order entered October 5, 2004 (Docket No. 8), the Court referred the cross-motions for summary judgment filed in the above-styled Social Security action to United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and Rule 4.01(d) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure, with directions to consider the same and to submit to the Court proposed findings of fact and a recommendation for disposition.

On May 18, 2005, Magistrate Judge Kaull filed his Report And Recommendation/Opinion (Docket No. 9) wherein the parties were directed, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to file any written objections thereto with the Clerk of Court within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of said Report And Recommendation/Opinion. Magistrate Judge Kaull's Report And Recommendation/Opinion expressly provided that a failure to timely file objections would result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based thereon.

The docket in the above-styled civil action reflects that no objections to Magistrate Judge Kaull's May 18, 2005, Report And Recommendation/Opinion have been filed.

Upon consideration of said Report and Recommendation/Opinion, and having received no written objections thereto<sup>1</sup>, the Court accepts and approves the Report And Recommendation/Opinion. Therefore, it is

**ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Kaull's Report And Recommendation/Opinion (Docket No. 9) be, and is hereby, **ACCEPTED** in whole and that this civil action be disposed of in accordance with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly,

1. The Defendant's Motion For Summary Judgment (Docket No. 6) is **GRANTED**:
2. The Plaintiff's Motion For Summary Judgment (Docket No. 5) is **DENIED**;
3. The above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED** and **RETIRED** from the docket of this Court.

The Clerk of Court is directed to transmit copies of this Order and the Judgment Order to counsel of record.

**ENTER:** June 15, 2005

/S/ Robert E. Maxwell  
United States District Judge

---

<sup>1</sup>The failure of the parties to object to the Report And Recommendation not only waives their appellate rights in this matter, but also relieves the Court of any obligation to conduct a *de novo* review of the issues presented. See Wells v. Shriners Hospital, 109 F.3d 198, 199-200 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 148-153 (1985).